

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES, RECURRENT DOLLAR SAVINGS FROM SENIOR  
EXECUTIVE SEVERANCES

661. Hon C L Edwardes to the Attorney General; Minister for Justice and Legal Affairs; Electoral Affairs; Peel and the South West
- (1) In light of the State Government's stated intention to cull at least 60 senior executive jobs over the next four years, for departments, agencies and statutory authorities under the control of the Attorney General what is the recurrent dollar savings of severances paid as part of the 60 severances in the 2003/2004 financial year?
- (2) How many severances is it estimated that (1) relates to?
- (3) In respect to (2) what is the estimated recurrent dollar saving arising from severances to be retained by agencies?
- (4) In respect to (2) what is the estimated recurrent dollar savings to be returned to Treasury?

Mr McGINTY replied:

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

- (1)-(4) The recurrent savings of one position abolished in the financial year 2000/2001 as part of the 60 severances, is \$117,172.52. These funds have been returned to Government via a resource agreement with Treasury. See parliamentary question and answer 619.
- No decision has been made concerning a reduction in senior executive positions for the 2003/2004 financial year.

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC PROSECUTIONS

- (1)-(4) Not applicable. See parliamentary question and answer 619.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION

- (1)-(4) Not applicable. See parliamentary question and answer 619.

EQUAL OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

- (1)-(4) Not applicable. See parliamentary question and answer 619.

LEGAL AID WA

- (1)-(4) Not applicable. See parliamentary question and answer 619.

OFFICE OF THE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

- (1)-(4) Not applicable. See parliamentary question and answer 619.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR OF CUSTODIAL SERVICES

- (1)-(4) Not applicable. See parliamentary question and answer 619.

PEEL DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

- (1)-(4) Not applicable. See parliamentary question and answer 619.

SOUTH WEST DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

- (1)-(4) Not applicable. See parliamentary question and answer 619.